CLASSIFIED MESSAGE ROUTING -370 JB/JM/LR S-E-C-R-E-T JUL 19 2125Z 57 DIRECTOR J FISCHER & Francisco ACTION: SE 6 ROUTINE INFO ICI, CI/OPS, FI, FI/OPS, /FI/RI 2) EE 4, S/C 2 IN 28489 TO FRAN HEID STUT INFO DIR MUNI CITE PULL 8067

UPSWING SHAM CART RE PULL 8023 (IN 26633)

- 1. MAJ RINEHART OF MUNI FIELD OFFICE REGION TV , TELEPHONED 16 JULY ASKED IF POB MADE ANY HEADWAY RE GETTING UPSWING C/O HANDLING DWORAK TO CONFER WITH CIC C/O. WHEN ASKED IF CIC HAD MADE 11 JULY MEETING AS PREVIOUSLY AGREED, MAJ R. SAID NO, FELT HANDLERS SHOULD MEET FIRST.
- 2. NOTE: THIS FIRST INDICATION FROM R-TV TO US THEY HAD NOT MADE AGREED 11 JULY MEETING.
- 3. INFORMED MAJ R. POB HAD REFERRED ENTIRE MATTER TO COS AND WAS AWAITING INSTRUCTIONS.
- 4. AS PER TELEPHONE INSTRUCTIONS FROM _____ WE FORMALLY ASKED UPSWING IF THEY WOULD AGREE TO SUCH MEETING OF HANDLERS IN THIS CASE. AS PREDICTED THEIR REPLY ON 19 JULY WAS NEGATIVE. OFFICIALLY UPSWING REQUESTED WE NOTIFY CIC AND G-2 THAT HANDLER HAD NEW ASSIGNMENT AND WOULD TAKE MONTHS BEFORE HE AVAILABLE. OFF RECORD THEY TOLD _ _ THEY DID NOT CONSIDER SUCH MEETING NECESSARY OR WORTHWHILE IN THIS CASE.
 - 5. RE COMPLAINT THAT UPSWING REPLIED NEGATIVELY TO CIC TRACE REQUEST

| VAZI WAR CRIMES DISCLOSURE ACT (FRAN 9773 (IN 24416) THIS WAS PARTIALLY TRUE | | | |
|---|---|--|--|
| CAMPTIONS Section 3(b) OTHE | S-E-C-R-E-T ER THAN THE ISSUING OFFICE IS Peclassified and Approved for Bol | | |
| L or origin Helations | Central Intelligence Agency | | |

ŧ

| 10 | INFO | CITE |
|---------|--------------------|-----------------------|
| | PAGE 2 | PULL 8067 IN 28489 |
| INFO 1 | P.45 6 | 0.6 |
| ACTION: | | |
| FROM 1 | | |
| TO : | | |
| | | |
| DATE : | S-E-C-R-E-T | 3 6 |
| | | 4 |
| | CLASSIFIED_MESSAGE | ROUTING |

AND CONSTITUTED A REAL FLAP IN UPSWING HQS. AS WE TRIED TO EXPLAIN TO CAPT WALLACE, UPSWING DESK OFFICER HANDLING DWORAK HAD FAILED TO HAVE FILE CARDED. AS RESULT UPSWING NAME TRACE SECTION REPLIED "NO INTEREST" WHEN WE RELAYED CIC REQUEST FOR TRACES. THIS FLAP AND UPSWING'S CLEARING BUILD-UP MATERIAL THIS CASE WITHOUT OBTAINING G-2 PERMISSION VIA US, PLACED THEM IN INDEFENSIBLE POSITION FOR WHICH THEY APOLOGIZED FOLLOWING OUR SUGGESTION THEY OFFERED G-2 AND CIC THE CASE TOGETHER WITH THEIR APOLOGIES NEITHER OF WHICH AS IT TURNS OUT CIC WANTS TO ACCEPT.

END OF MESSAGE

S-E-C-R-E-T

REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN THE ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED. CODY NO.